

## Remarks

### Claim Objections


Claims 1, 4, 8 through 10, 11 and 13 have been amended to overcome the objections as indicated.

### Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a)

Claims 1 through 16 are rejected over Wang et al., CN 1368518 (Wang), in view of Guo et al., CN 1398923 (Guo). Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner provide full English translations of the cited references, as Applicants do not have knowledge of Chinese language and therefore cannot properly respond to this rejection.

Applicants note that the references cited as prior art are both English language abstracts of Chinese patents. Applicants herein provide a response to the office action only to the claim objections, and urge that a final rejection of the claims would be improper before consideration of the fully translated references. Applicants note that provision of full translations of foreign language references cited as prior art is the responsibility of the Examiner (*see Ex Parte Gavin*, 62 USPQ2d 1680 (BPAI 2001)). In particular, the Examiner has cited passages in the references that occur within the specification and outside of the English abstract, e.g., Wang: Page 2, Line 15 and 16; Page 2, Lines 13 through 15; Page 3, Table 1; Guo: Page 7, Lines 10 through 12. Applicants urge that a proper and full response cannot be prepared without knowledge of the content of the specifications of the references. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner provide full English translations of the cited references, and defer responding to the rejection until receiving the translations.

Respectfully submitted,



John D. DeLong, Reg. No. 44,648  
Attorney for Applicants

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, IP Law D/823  
1144 East Market Street, Akron, Ohio 44316-0001  
Telephone: (330) 796-8757